

**The development and field test of the
Education Technology Leadership Assessment survey**

by

Greg Davis

A dissertation submitted to the graduate faculty
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Major: Educational Leadership and Policy Studies (Educational Leadership)

Program of Study Committee:
Scott McLeod, Major Professor
Barbara Licklider
Gary Phye
Jim Scharff
Ann Thompson

Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa
2008

Copyright © Greg Davis, 2008. All rights reserved.

UMI Number: 3307045

INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

UMI[®]

UMI Microform 3307045
Copyright 2008 by ProQuest LLC
All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against
unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

ProQuest LLC
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

DEDICATION

To education leaders who work to anticipate the challenges and opportunities posed by a technology rich future.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
Statement of Problem.....	4
Purpose.....	5
Significance of Proposed Study	6
Research Question	7
Basic Assumptions.....	7
Delimitations.....	8
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	10
Education Technology Leadership	10
Importance of Education Technology Leadership	10
Education Technology Leadership Standards.....	12
Sources of Education Technology Leadership	19
The School Administrator as Technology Leader	19
The School Teacher as Technology Leader.....	21
The Chief Technology Officer as Technology Leader	23
Education Technology Leadership	25
Collaborative Leadership Theory	25
Education Technology Leadership and Collaborative Theory	29
Summary	30
CHAPTER 3. METHODS	32
Methodological Approach	32
Research Context	34
ETLA Development Phase	35
Research Design Overview.....	35
Research Participants	38
Phase Data Collection	38
Data Analysis	42
Summary	43
ETLA Field Test Phase.....	43
Research Design Overview.....	43
Research Participants	45
Data Collection	46

Data Analysis	49
ETLA Reliability Analysis	49
ETLA Validity Analysis	50
ETLA Exploratory Factor Analysis	52
Summary	58
Design Issues	58
Internal Validity	58
External Validity	60
Other Issues	61
Human Subjects Research	62
Summary	62
 CHAPTER 4. FINDINGS	 64
ETLA Item Alignment with Education Technology Leadership Standards	65
ETLA Survey Reliability	68
ETLA Survey Validity	72
Job Group Comparison Results	72
Matched Data Results	74
Not Applicable Results	77
Exploratory Factor Analysis	78
Summary	94
 CHAPTER 5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	 96
Summary of the study	96
Overview of the problem	96
Review of the methodology	97
Major findings	98
Findings Related to the Literature	104
Education Technology Leadership Frameworks	104
Sources of Education Technology Leadership	108
Implications for Action	110
Using the ETLA with Professional Learning Communities	110
Using the ETLA with pre-service teacher preparation	113
Using the ETLA to inform comprehensive technology planning	115
Summary	119
Recommendation for Future Research	119
Conclusions	125
 APPENDIX A. TECHNOLOGY LEADERSHIP STANDARDS COMPARISON	 127
 APPENDIX B. EXPERT PANEL FEEDBACK FORMS	 136
 APPENDIX C. SELF-ASSESSMENT INSTRUCTIONS FOR ETLA SURVEY	 162

APPENDIX D. EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR ETLA SURVEY	163
APPENDIX E. HUMAN SUBJECTS APPROVAL	164
APPENDIX F. DES MOINES PUBLIC SCHOOLS RESEARCH APPROVAL	165
APPENDIX G. RANKING DATA SET DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	166
APPENDIX H. ASSESSMENT DATA SET DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	168
APPENDIX I. COMPARISON OF DRAFT AND FINAL ETLA ITEMS	170
APPENDIX J. FIELD TEST DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	174
APPENDIX K. MATCHED PAIRED ITEM CORRELATIONS	175
APPENDIX L. EFA ROTATED FACTOR MATRIX	176
APPENDIX M. ETLA SURVEY (PRINTED VERSION)	177
APPENDIX N. DEFINITIONS	185
REFERENCES	186
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	195

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Education Competency Wheel	13
Figure 2. ETLA Survey Development Process.....	36
Figure 3. Example of Expert Panel Feedback Form.....	41
Figure 4. Example of a Scree Plot	54
Figure 5. Comparison of job group means by TL Standard	74
Figure 6. Comparison of matched mean scores by TL Standard.....	76
Figure 7. ETLA Field Test Data Set Scree plot.....	80
Figure 8. Diagram of TL Standards and Budgeting Factor relationships.....	83
Figure 9. Diagram of TL Standards and Planning Factor relationships.....	85
Figure 10. Diagram of TL Standards and Policy Factor relationships.....	86
Figure 11. Diagram of TL Standards and Data Driven Factor relationships.....	88
Figure 12. Diagram of TL Standards and Efficiency Factor relationships.....	89
Figure 13. Diagram of TL Standards and Communication Factor relationships.....	91
Figure 14. Diagram of TL Standards and Factor relationships.....	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. NETS-S Standards	15
Table 2. NETS-T Standards	15
Table 3. NETS-A Standards.	16
Table 4. Technology Leadership (TL) Standards	18
Table 5. Sample factor matrix.....	56
Table 6. Expert Ranking Data Set descriptive statistics.	66
Table 7. Expert Panel descriptive statistics summary.....	68
Table 8. ETLA item changes between draft and final ETLA versions.....	68
Table 9. Means of inter-scale correlations from ETLA item scales	71
Table 10. Field Test Data Set group means by standard area.....	73
Table 11. Matched Data Set group means by standard area.	76
Table 12. ETLA items with high Not Applicable responses by job group.....	78
Table 13. ETLA items with high factor loadings on Budgeting factor.	82
Table 14. ETLA items with high factor loadings on the Planning factor.....	85
Table 15. ETLA Items with High Factor Loadings on Policy factor.....	86
Table 16. ETLA items with high factor loadings on Data Driven factor.	88
Table 17. ETLA items with high factor loadings on Efficiency factor.	89
Table 18. ETLA items with high factor loadings on Communication factor.	91
Table 19. Classification of ETLA items by their high factor loadings.....	93
Table 20. Examples of Action Steps Aligned with ETLA Items.....	118

ABSTRACT

Education Technology Leadership is known to be a key element in the successful use of technology in education (CoSN K-12 CTO Council, 2006). The evaluation of education technology leadership is often aided by the use of education technology standards for administrators. While education technology leadership is often associated as primarily the role of school administrators, education technology leadership also emerges from other sources; for example, teachers, technology coordinators, parents, students, and community members. Collaborative leadership theory provides a theoretical basis for the premise that education technology leadership may be effectively distributed across an organization. There is support in the literature indicating school administrators have been successful in achieving sustainable change through the use of collaborative leadership techniques (Fullan, 2001a; Wheatley, 1999). Often education technology, under the direction of a effective education technology leadership, is used as a change agent in school improvement initiatives (Fullan, 2003; P. E. Holland, 2001).

The purpose of this study was to develop an assessment of education technology leadership. With the assistance of an expert panel, a survey titled the *Education Technology Leadership Assessment* (ETLA) was created. The ETLA was intended to be a general purpose education technology leadership assessment. It was similar to the Principal Technology Leadership Assessment (PTLA) survey (Center for the Advanced Study of Leadership in Education, 2005). Where the PTLA was based on ISTE National Education Technology Standards for Administrators (NETS-A), the ETLA was based on the ISTE Technology Leadership (TL) Standards (Twomey, Shamburg, & Zieger, 2006).

The data analysis results of this study produced evidence of ETLA item reliability and validity. In addition, the EFA process generated six factors and helped to identify inter-relationships between those factors and the TL Standards used to provide the original framework for the ETLA. These findings indicated that the ETLA had potential as a useful assessment of education technology leadership. This study's findings were limited by the use of one sample population. A recommendation for future research is to continue to evaluate ETLA reliability, validity, and data inter-relationships as additional data sets are collected.